

### Math 6462, W22. Assignment # 3 solutions

In all questions,  $H$  stands for the separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.

- (1) (a) (4pts) Find two self-adjoint operators on  $H$  with spectrum  $[0, 1]$  and empty point-spectrum that are not unitarily equivalent.

The solution is somewhat more detailed than necessary.

**Solution.** Consider strictly positive Borel measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $S$  be  $M_{\text{id}}$  on  $L^2([0, 1], \mu)$  and let  $T$  be  $M_{\text{id}}$  on  $L^2([0, 1], \nu)$ . Since  $\mu$  is strictly positive,  $\sigma(S) = [0, 1]$ , and similarly  $\sigma(T) = [0, 1]$ . We'll see when is there a unitary  $U: L^2([0, 1], \mu) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1], \nu)$  such that  $S = UTU^*$ .

**Claim 1.** *Suppose  $f_n$  is a bounded sequence in  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  such that  $f_n \geq f_{n+1} \geq 0$  for all  $n$ . Then  $f_n$  converges to 0 almost everywhere if and only if  $\text{SOT-}\lim_n M_{f_n} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The forward implication uses the Dominated Convergence Theorem, as we did in class when proving Theorem 2.6.3 (and Remark 2.6.2) in Arveson, that a nondegenerate representation of  $C(X)$  extends to a  $\sigma$ -representation of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ .

For the converse use the assumption that  $f_n \geq f_{n+1} \geq 0$  for all  $n$ . If  $f_n$  do not converge to 0 almost everywhere, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the sets  $A_{\varepsilon, n} = \{x | f_n(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$  satisfy  $\limsup_n \mu(A_{\varepsilon, n}) = \delta > 0$ . But  $A_{\varepsilon, n} \supseteq A_{\varepsilon, n+1}$ , and therefore  $A = \bigcap_n A_{\varepsilon, n}$  satisfies  $\mu(A) \geq \delta$ . The characteristic function of  $A$  gives a vector  $\xi$  such that  $\|M_{f_n}\xi\| \not\rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

By linearity, the claim implies that if  $f_n \geq f_{n+1} \geq g$  are real in  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ , then  $f_n$  converges to  $g$  almost everywhere if and only if  $M_{f_n}$  SOT-converges to  $M_g$ .

The conjugation by  $U$ ,  $R \mapsto URU^*$ , is norm-norm continuous, but it is also SOT-SOT continuous (proof is straightforward and a good exercise). It is also WOT-WOT continuous (another little exercise) but we don't need this.

For a Borel  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ , consider the projection  $P(A) = M_{1_A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(L^2([0, 1], \mu))$  and the projection  $Q(A) = M_{1_A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(L^2([0, 1], \nu))$ .

**Claim 2.** *For every Borel  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$  we have  $UP(A)U^* = Q(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $UTU^* = S$  (i.e.,  $UM_{\text{id}}U^* = M_{\text{id}}$ ), for every polynomial and every  $f \in C([0, 1])$  we have  $UM_fU^* = M_f$ .

If  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ , is closed, then  $1_A$  is the pointwise infimum of a decreasing sequence  $f_n$  of functions in  $C([0, 1])$ . Then  $\text{SOT-}\lim_n M_{f_n} = P(A)$  and by the first claim  $UP(A)U^* = Q(A)$ . By looking at the complements, the same holds for the open sets.

If  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$  is  $G_\delta$ , then it is the intersection of open sets, and using the first claim again we have  $UP(A)U^* = Q(A)$ .

Every measurable  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$  is equal to a  $G_\delta$  set  $A'$  modulo a null set, thus  $P(A) = P(A')$  and the conclusion follows for all Borel sets.  $\square$

(Digression: The claim implies that for every  $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$  we have  $UM_fU^* = M_f$ . By linearity, for all step functions  $f$  we have  $UM_fU^* = M_f$ . Since every  $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$  is a uniform limit of step functions, the conclusion follows. We don't need this fact.)

Claim implies that  $\mu(A) = 0$  if and only if  $\nu(A) = 0$ . In other words,  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$  and vice versa.

Finally note that the pure point spectrum of  $T$  is empty if and only if  $\mu$  has no atoms (i.e., every singleton has measure zero).

The question therefore reduces to finding two strictly positive measures on  $[0, 1]$ , neither one of which has atoms, such that one of them is not absolutely continuous with respect to the other.

Take  $\mu$  to be the Lebesgue measure. Let  $P \subseteq [0, 1]$  be a perfect set such that  $\mu(P) = 0$ . There is a strictly positive Borel probability measure  $\nu_P$  on  $P$  with no atoms. (E.g.,

identify  $P$  with the Cantor set. Then identify the Cantor set with the product  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and on this space consider the product of uniform probability measures on  $\{0, 1\}$ .)

Then  $\mu$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\mu_P$  are as required.

- (b) (6pts) Find infinitely many self-adjoint operators on  $H$  with spectrum  $[0, 1]$  and empty point-spectrum that are pairwise unitarily inequivalent.

**Solution.** Continuing the argument of part (a), pick infinitely many disjoint perfect  $\mu$ -null subsets  $P_n$  of  $[0, 1]$ . (There are infinitely many ways to find such  $P_n$ ; see e.g., the solution to part (c).) On each  $P_n$  choose a measure  $\nu_n$  as in part (a). Then for example  $\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\nu_n$  are as required.

- (c) (Bonus 5pts) Find uncountably many self-adjoint operators on  $H$  with spectrum  $[0, 1]$  and empty point-spectrum that are pairwise unitarily inequivalent.

**Solution.** Continuing the argument of part (b), one only needs uncountably many perfect  $\mu$ -null subsets of  $[0, 1]$ . For this, note that the Cantor space  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is homeomorphic to its square  $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})^2$ . Therefore the vertical sections of this square give continuum many disjoint perfect subsets of the Cantor space, and therefore continuum many disjoint perfect subsets of a perfect  $\mu$ -null subset  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$ .

Suppose that  $T$  is a normal operator on  $H$  with spectrum  $X = \sigma(T)$ . By the Spectral Theorem, there are a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure<sup>1</sup>  $\mu$  on  $X$  and a unitary  $U: L^2(X, \mu) \rightarrow H$  such that  $T = UM_fU^*$ , where  $f$  is the identity function on  $X$ . By the Bounded Borel Functional calculus, there is a  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $B(X)$  on  $H$ .

On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1.3 in Arveson, the map  $\Phi: L^\infty(X, \mu) \ni f \mapsto M_f \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X, \mu))$  is an isometric isomorphism.

Let  $\iota: C(X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu)$  be the identity map. Note that it is injective, since  $\mu$  is strictly positive on  $X$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \longrightarrow & L^\infty(X, \mu) \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ \mathcal{B}(H) & & \end{array}$$

- (2) (a) (5pts) Suppose that  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $H$  such that  $\|T^2 - T\| < 1/4$ . Prove that there is a projection in  $C^*(T)$  (the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $T$ ).

**Solution.** (This one was trivial as one could take the projection to be 0; I should have e.g., added the assumption that  $\{0, 1\} \subseteq \sigma(T)$  and required that the projection is nontrivial, i.e., neither 0 nor 1. This is what the proof shows.) The Spectral Theorem easily implies that  $C^*(T, 1) \cong C(\sigma(T))$ . Since  $T = T^*$ , the Continuous Functional Calculus implies  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .<sup>2</sup>

Since  $\|T - T^2\| < 1/4$ , and (again by the Continuous Functional Calculus, CFC)  $\|T - T^2\| = \sup\{|t - t^2| : t \in \sigma(T)\}$ , we have  $1/2 \notin \sigma(T)$ . Let  $g: \sigma(T) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be defined by  $g(t) = 0$  if  $t < 1/2$  and  $g(t) = 1$  if  $t > 1/2$ . Since  $1/2 \notin \sigma(T)$ ,  $g$  is continuous on  $\sigma(T)$ , and therefore (CFC again)  $P = f(T) \in C^*(T, 1)$ . By CFC,  $g^2 = g$  implies  $P^* = P$  and  $g = \bar{g}$  implies  $P = P^*$ , hence  $P$  is a projection.

- (b) (5pts) Prove that there is a decreasing  $f: (0, 1/2) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  that satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t) = 0$  and such that if  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $H$  and  $\|T^2 - T\| = \varepsilon < 1/2$ , then there is a projection  $P$  in  $C^*(T)$  such that  $\|P - T\| \leq f(\varepsilon)$ .

<sup>1</sup>There is a probability measure with the same property; this is an exercise.

<sup>2</sup>We are shooting a fly with a cannon; proving this from the scratch is a nice exercise.

**Solution.** This is a refinement of the solution to (a). Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$  and that  $\|T - T^2\| = \varepsilon$ . The solution to the inequality  $|t - t^2| \leq \varepsilon$  is

$$\left(-\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\varepsilon}}{2}, \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\varepsilon}}{2}\right) \cup \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\varepsilon}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\varepsilon}}{2}\right).$$

Let

$$f(\varepsilon) = \min \left| \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 \pm 4\varepsilon}}{2} \right|.$$

By CFC, with  $g$  as in the first part,  $\|T - g(T)\| = f(\varepsilon)$ . Clearly  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(\varepsilon) = 0$ , as required. (One could work out a nicer-looking bound, but this was not the point of the exercise.)

- (3) (Continuity of the continuous functional calculus.) Fix a continuous function  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . For a normal operator  $T$  on  $H$ ,  $f(T)$  is defined by the (obvious extension of) the continuous functional calculus. Prove that if  $T_n$  and  $T$  are normal operators such that  $\lim_n \|T_n - T\| = 0$  then  $\lim_n \|f(T_n) - f(T)\| = 0$ .

**Solution.** First we prove that this is true when  $f(x) = x^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Fix  $n$ . We have (writing  $T^0 = 1$ )

$$T^n - S^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S^j (T - S) T^{n-1-j}$$

and therefore  $\|T^n - S^n\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|S\|^j \|T - S\| \|T^{n-1-j}\|$ . If  $T$  is normal, then  $\|T^j\| = \|T\|^j$ , and therefore with  $M = \max(\|S\|, \|T\|)$  we have  $\|T^n - S^n\| \leq nM^{n-1} \|T - S\|$ .

Now suppose  $\|T_m - T\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\|T_m\| \rightarrow \|T\|$ , and in particular  $M = \sup_m \max_{j < n} \|T_m\| < \infty$ . By the above calculations  $\|T_m^n - T^n\| < nM^{n-1} \|T_m - T\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Also,  $\|T_m^* - T^*\| = \|T_m - T\|$ .

Let  $p(x)$  be a complex \*-polynomial such that  $x$  and  $x^*$  commute, hence  $p(x)$  is a complex linear combination of terms of the form  $x^m (x^*)^k$  for  $m \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 0$ . By the continuity of the addition and scalar multiplication,  $\|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$  implies  $\|p(T_n) - p(T)\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Finally, let  $X$  denote the closed disk of radius  $M$  (as above) centered at 0. Then  $\sigma(T_n) \subseteq X$  for all  $n$ . By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, the algebra of complex \*-polynomials is norm-dense in  $C(X)$ . Fix  $f \in C(X)$  and let  $p_m(x)$  be a sequence of polynomials that converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $X$ . Then  $\lim_n \|p_m(T_n) - p_m(T)\| = 0$ . By the Continuous Functional Calculus,  $\lim_m \|p_m(T) - f(T)\| = 0$ , and  $\lim_m \|p_m(T_n) - f(T_n)\| = 0$  for all  $n$ . Choosing  $m(n) \rightarrow \infty$  such that both  $\|p_{m(n)}(T_n) - f(T_n)\| < 1/n$  and  $\|p_{m(n)}(T) - f(T)\| < 1/n$  for all  $n$ ,  $\|f(T_n) - f(T)\| \rightarrow 0$  follows.

- (4) Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are compact Hausdorff spaces and that  $\Phi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  is a unital homomorphism ( $\Phi$  is not assumed to be continuous).

(a) Prove that there is a function  $\tilde{\Phi}: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $(\Phi(f))(y) = f(\tilde{\Phi}(y))$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

**Solution.** Since  $\Phi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  is a bounded linear operator, we can consider its transpose  $\Phi^*: C(Y)^* \rightarrow C(X)^*$  such that for all  $f \in C(X)$  and  $\varphi \in C(Y)^*$  we have

$$(\Phi(f), \varphi) = (f, \Phi^*(\varphi)).$$

Let  $\tilde{\Phi}$  be the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $\text{Sp}(C(Y))$  (identified with  $Y$ ). Then (using the definitions) for  $y \in Y$  and  $f \in C(X)$  we have

$$\Phi(f)(y) = (\Phi(f), y) = (f, \tilde{\Phi}(y)) = f(\tilde{\Phi}(y))$$

as required.

- (b) Prove that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is continuous.

**Solution.** The transpose of a bounded linear operator is continuous in the weak operator topology.

- (c) Prove that  $\Phi(f^*) = \Phi(f)^*$  for all  $f \in C(X)$ .

**Solution.** For every  $y \in Y$  we have  $\Phi(f^*)(y) = f^*(\tilde{\Phi}(y)) = \overline{\tilde{\Phi}(f)(y)} = \overline{\Phi(f)(y)}$ . Since this is true for all  $y \in Y$ , the identity follows.

- (5) This is a continuation of Question (4), with  $\Phi$ ,  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  as before. In each of the following, first complete the sentence by inserting the right word (a property of a continuous map) and prove the assertion obtained in this way.

- (a) Prove that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is. . . .

**Solution.** The magic word is ‘homeomorphism’. Since  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism iff it is injective and surjective, and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a homeomorphism iff it is injective and surjective, this will follow from the other two parts of the question.

- (b) Prove that  $\ker(\Phi) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is. . . .

**Solution.** The magic word is ‘surjective’. Suppose  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is surjective. If  $f \in C(X)$  is nonzero, fix  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(\tilde{\Phi}(y)) \neq 0$ . Then  $\tilde{\Phi}(f)(y) \neq 0$ . Since  $f$  was arbitrary,  $\ker(\tilde{\Phi}) = \{0\}$ . Conversely, if  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is not surjective, then  $X \setminus \tilde{\Phi}[Y]$  is a nonempty open set. (Since  $Y$  is compact, its image is closed.) Fix  $x \in X \setminus \tilde{\Phi}[Y]$ . By the Tietze Extension Theorem find  $f \in C(X)$  that vanishes on  $\tilde{\Phi}[Y]$  such that  $f(x) = 1$ . Then  $\Phi(f) = 0$ , hence  $\ker(\Phi)$  is nontrivial.

- (c) Prove that  $\Phi$  is surjective if and only if  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is. . . .

**Solution.** The magic word is ‘injective’. Suppose  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is injective. By the compactness of  $Y$  and of  $X_0 = \tilde{\Phi}[Y]$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a homeomorphism between  $X_0$  and  $Y$ . For  $h \in C(Y)$  define  $f_0: X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f_0 = h \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}$ . Then  $f_0$  is continuous, and by the Tietze Extension Theorem it has a continuous extension to  $f \in C(X)$ . Then  $\Phi(f) = f \circ \tilde{\Phi} = h$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is not injective and fix  $y \neq y'$  in  $Y$  such that  $\tilde{\Phi}(y) = \tilde{\Phi}(y')$ . Then for every  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $\Phi(f)(y) = f \circ \tilde{\Phi}(y) = f \circ \tilde{\Phi}(y') = \Phi(f)(y')$ . Since  $C(Y)$  separates the points of  $Y$  and  $\Phi[C(X)]$  does not,  $\Phi$  is not surjective.

- (6) Suppose that  $\pi$  is a representation of a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  on  $H$ . Prove that the following are equivalent.

- (a) Every nonzero  $\xi \in H$  is cyclic for  $\pi$ .  
 (b) The only closed subspaces of  $H$  that are invariant<sup>3</sup> for  $\pi(a)$  for every  $a \in A$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $H$ .  
 (c) If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  commutes with  $\pi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , then  $T$  is a scalar multiple of the identity.

**Solution.** A nontrivial invariant subspace cannot contain a cyclic vector (and it contains nonzero vectors). This easily implies that (6a) and (6b) are equivalent.

(6c) implies (6b): If  $K$  is a nontrivial closed invariant subspace, then the orthogonal projection to  $K$  is an invariant nonscalar operator.

(6b) implies (6c): This is the only nontrivial implication. Suppose that (6c) fails. Let  $A$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  consisting of all operators that commute with  $\pi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ .<sup>4</sup> A routine calculation shows that  $A$  is WOT-closed, and therefore a von Neumann algebra.

If all self-adjoint operators in  $A$  were scalar, then every operator in  $A$  would be scalar. This is because  $T = T_0 + iT_1$ , for self-adjoint  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ . We can therefore fix a self-adjoint, nonscalar,  $T \in \pi[A]'$ . Thus  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and it has more than one point. Let  $U$  be a bounded open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that both  $U \cap \sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma(T) \setminus U$  are nonempty. Let  $X = \sigma(T) \cap U$ . Let  $f_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a sequence of continuous functions converging to  $\chi_U$  pointwise (Tietze).

By the Bounded Borel Functional Calculus, let  $S = \chi_X(T)$ .

<sup>3</sup>A subspace  $K$  of  $H$  is invariant for  $T$  if  $T[K] \subseteq K$ .

<sup>4</sup>This is the *relative commutant* of  $\pi[A]$ , denoted by  $\pi[A]'$ ; this is not used in the proof.

- (7) Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a normal operator. Prove that the range of  $\mathcal{B}(\sigma(T))$  under the Bounded Borel Functional Calculus associated to  $T$  is a von Neumann algebra.

There is some overlap with the solution to Question (1).

**Solution.** Let  $\pi: \mathcal{B}(\sigma(T)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  be the  $\sigma$ -representation given by the Bounded Borel Functional Calculus. Denote its range by  $N$ . Meanwhile, by the Spectral Theorem we may assume that  $H = L^2(X, \mu)$  and  $T \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$  for some probability measure space  $X$ . We will write

$$\mathcal{A} = L^\infty(X, \mu).$$

Let  $P$  be the spectral measure associated with  $\pi$  and let  $\text{Borel}(X)$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $\sigma(T)$ . Then for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  we have  $P(A) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

This fact requires a proof. We will prove that the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{A \in \text{Borel}(\sigma(T)) \mid P(A) \in \mathcal{A}\}$  includes all open sets and is closed under complements and countable unions. We will use the fact that if  $(S_n)$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $S = \text{SOT-lim } S_n$ , then  $S \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $A$  is open, then the characteristic function  $1_A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is a pointwise limit of continuous functions. Since  $\pi$  is a  $\sigma$ -representation,  $P(A) \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ , and  $P(A_n) \in \mathcal{A}$  then again because  $\pi$  is a  $\sigma$ -representation  $P(A) \in \mathcal{A}$ . Clearly if  $P(A) \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $P(\mathbb{C} \setminus A) = 1 - P(A)$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Fix  $\pi(f) \in N$ . Then  $f$  is a pointwise limit of step functions  $f_n$ . Every step function  $f_n$  is a linear combination of characteristic functions  $1_A$ , and therefore by the previous paragraph  $\pi(f_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\pi$  is a  $\sigma$ -representation,  $\pi(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\pi[\mathcal{B}(X)] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

Almost there. Fix  $S$  in the SOT-closure of  $N$ . We need to prove that  $S \in N$ .

We claim that  $S$  commutes with every element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . This is ‘obvious’ but let’s prove it. For  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  the function  $F_T(X) \mapsto TX - XT$  is SOT-continuous. Then  $F_T$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $T$  commutes with  $\mathcal{A}$ .<sup>5</sup> Let  $(T_\lambda)$  be a net in  $N$  such that  $S = \text{SOT-lim } T_\lambda$ . For every  $T \in N$ ,  $F_T$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{A}$  because  $N \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian. Thus for every  $R \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $F_S(R) = \text{SOT-lim } F_{T_\lambda}(R) = 0$ , and  $F_S$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For a measurable  $A \subseteq X$  write  $\xi_A$  for the characteristic function of  $A$  considered as a vector in  $L^2(X, \mu)$ <sup>6</sup> and write  $Q_A$  for the same function considered as a projection in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Fix measurable  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ . Then

$$(1) \quad Q_A S \xi_B = S Q_A \xi_B = S \xi_A.$$

We claim that  $\eta = S \xi_X$  is in  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . (It is clearly in  $L^2(X, \mu)$ .) Otherwise, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the set

$$A(m) = \{x \in X \mid |\eta(x)| \geq m\}$$

is not  $\mu$ -null. But (1) implies  $S \xi_{A(m)} = P_{A(m)} \eta$ , thus  $\|S \xi_{A(m)}\|_2 \geq m \|\xi_{A(m)}\|_2$  and  $\|S\| \geq m$  for all  $m$ ; contradiction.

Thus  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}$ . We claim that  $S = M_\eta$  (the multiplication operator). For measurable  $A \subseteq X$  we have  $S Q_A = M_\eta Q_A$  by (1). By linearity, this equality also holds for linear combinations of projections in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

<sup>5</sup>This is also equivalent to  $T \in \mathcal{A}$ , but we don’t need this.

<sup>6</sup>Then  $\xi_A \in L^2(X, \mu)$  since we are assuming that  $\mu$  is a probability measure, which is not a loss of generality.