

Math 6462, W22,  
Homework 1 solutions.

(1)  $\text{sp}(f) = \text{range}(f)$ .

$\supseteq$  If  $\lambda \notin \text{sp}(f)$ , let  $(f - \lambda)^{-1} = g$ ,

then  $g(x)(f(x) - \lambda) = 1$  for all  $x$ , hence

$f(x) - \lambda \neq 0$ , and  $\lambda \notin \text{range}(f)$ .

$\subseteq$  If  $\lambda \notin \text{range}(f)$  then since  $X$  is  
compact and  $f$  is continuous, there is  $\varepsilon > 0$   
such that  $B_\varepsilon(\lambda)$  (=the  $\varepsilon$ -ball centered at  $\lambda$ )  
is disjoint from  $f[X]$ . Thus  $g(x) = 1/(f(x) - \lambda)$ ,  $x \in X$   
is  $(f - \lambda)^{-1}$ .

(2a)  $X = \mathbb{R} \cup [1, \infty)$  (Some may insist on  $X = [1, \infty)$ ,  
see below)

(b)  $x \in X$  - take any normalized unital

Banach algebra  $A$  e.g.,  $C([0, 1])$ .

For  $r \geq 1$ , on  $A$  define  $\|a\| = r \|a\|$ .

Then  $\|\cdot\|$  is a Banach space norm  
equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  because  $\frac{1}{r} \|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\| \leq r \|\cdot\|$ .

It is also submultiplicative, since  $r^2 \geq r$ .

So  $r \in X$ , and  $[r, \infty) \subseteq X$ .  
clearly  $X \subseteq [0, \infty)$ . Fix  $0 \leq r < 1$ , and  
assume  $\|1_A\| = r$  for some  $A$ .

Then  $r = \|1_A\| \leq \|1_A\| \cdot \|1_A\| = r^2$  - contradiction.

Finally,  $\|1_A\| = 0 \Rightarrow 1_A = 0$ , so  $1_A = 0$ .

(and  $A = \{0\}$ , (some people allow this, and I don't care))

© No. Any unital Banach algebra can be renormed so that  $\|1_A\| = 1$  (proved in class) and the proof of (b) shows that it can be further renormed to have

$\|1_A\| = r$  for any  $r \in X$

(If you answered  $X = X \cup \infty$  to (a), then the correct answer to (c) is "no".)

(3) (a) Fix  $\xi \in \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$ . We claim  $\xi$  is not an eigenvector for  $S$ . Otherwise,  $S\xi = \lambda\xi$  for some  $\lambda$ . ~~Relating  $\xi$  with  $\xi/\|\xi\|_2$ , we may assume  $\|\xi\|_2 = 1$ . Since  $\ker(S) = \{0\}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Let  $n$  be minimal such that  $\xi_n \neq 0$ .~~

But  $(S^n \xi)_n = 0$ , and  $(S^n \xi)_n = \lambda^n \xi_n \neq 0$  - contradiction.

(b) and (c) Fix  $\lambda$ ,  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $n > 2/\epsilon^2$ .

Let  $\xi = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, \frac{n}{n}, \frac{n-1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, \dots)$

(I.e.,  $\xi_k = \frac{k+1}{n}$ , for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,

$\xi_k = \frac{2n-k}{n}$ , for  $n \leq k \leq 2n$

$\xi_k = 0$ , for  $k > 2n$ .)

Then  $S\xi - \xi = \underbrace{\left(-\frac{1}{n}, -\frac{1}{n}, \dots, -\frac{1}{n}\right)}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right)}_{n \text{ times}}$

So  $\|S\xi - \xi\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{n^2}} < \epsilon$ .

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, this implies  $(S-I)$  is not invertible. If  $T(S-I) = I$

then  $\|\xi\| = \|T(S-I)\xi\| > \epsilon \|(S-I)\xi\|$ , contradicting  $\|T\| < \infty$ .

(c)  $\|S\| = 1$ , hence  $\sigma_p(S) \subseteq \{\lambda \mid |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ .

To prove the converse inclusion, fix  $\lambda, |\lambda| \leq 1$ .

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $n > 2/\sqrt{\epsilon}$ . Let

$\xi = \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}\lambda, \frac{3}{n}\lambda^2, \dots, \frac{n}{n}\lambda^{n-1}, \frac{n-1}{n}\lambda^n, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\lambda^{2n}, 0, 0, \dots\right)$

As in (b),  $\|S\xi - \lambda\xi\| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} < \epsilon$ , and  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(S)$ .

(4) (a) Fix  $x, \|x\| < 1$ . Let  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow GL(A)$

be  $f_0(r) = (I - rX)^{-1}$ . Since  $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\| < 1$ ,  $f_0(r)$  is well-defined.

Since  $(\cdot)^{-1}$  is cth, and  $f_0(0) = I_A, f_0(1) = (I - X)^{-1}$ , (a) follows.

(b) We'll prove that the path component of  $x \in GL(A)$  is open in  $A$ . As we proved in class,  $x \in GL(A)$  and

$\|h\| < \|x^{-1}\|^{-1}$  implies  $x+h \in GL(A)$ . Thus

$Y = \{y \in A \mid \|x-y\| < \|x^{-1}\|^{-1}\} \subseteq GL(A)$ , and for  $y \in Y$

$f: [0, 1] \rightarrow GL(A)$  defined by  $f(r) = x - r(x-y)$  is a cth map from  $[0, 1]$  into  $GL(A)$  (proved in (a)),  $f(0) = x$ , and  $f(1) = y$ .

(c) Let  $\Gamma = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - x_j)^{-1} \mid n \geq 1, \|x_j\| < 1, x_j \in U \right\}$ .

By (b),  $\Gamma \subseteq G_0$ .

To prove  $G_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ , fix  $x \in G_0$  and  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow GL(U)$  that is ctus,  $f(0) = 1$ , and  $f(1) = x$ .

Let  $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow GL(U)$  be  $g(r) = f(r)^{-1}$ ; then  $g$  is ctus.

Let  $M = \max_{r \in [0, 1]} \|g(r)\|$ . Fix  $n > M$ . For  $0 \leq k \leq n$  such that  $|r-s| \leq \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow \|f(r) - f(s)\| < 1/M$

let  $X_k = f(k/n)$ . Then  $X_0 = 1$ ,  $X_n = x$ , and

$\|X_k - X_{k+1}\| \leq \frac{1}{M} \leq \|X_k^{-1}\|^{-1}$ . Thus (with  $h_k = X_k - X_{k+1}$ )

$X_{k+1} = X_k - h_k = X_k(1 - X_k^{-1}h_k)$ , with  $\|X_k^{-1}h_k\| < 1$ .  
since  $\|X_k^{-1}\| \leq M$

This implies that (with  $y_k = X_k^{-1}h_k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ )

we have  $f(1) = (1 - y_0)(1 - y_1) \dots (1 - y_{n-1})$

as required.